



RECOMMENDED AGENDA STAFF REPORT

2012 OCT 10 AM 10:26

Agenda Item

29

ASR Control 12-001448

**MEETING DATE:** 10/16/12  
**LEGAL ENTITY TAKING ACTION:** Board of Supervisors  
**BOARD OF SUPERVISORS DISTRICT(S):** All Districts  
**SUBMITTING AGENCY/DEPARTMENT:** County Executive Office (Approved)  
**DEPARTMENT CONTACT PERSON(S):** Bob Franz (714) 834-4304  
Mahesh Patel (714) 834-2525

**SUBJECT:** IT Sourcing Recommendations

**CEO CONCUR**  
Concur

**COUNTY COUNSEL REVIEW**  
N/A

**CLERK OF THE BOARD**  
Discussion  
3 Votes Board Majority

**Budgeted:** N/A      **Current Year Cost:** N/A      **Annual Cost:** N/A

**Staffing Impact:** Yes      **# of Positions:**      **Sole Source:** N/A  
**Current Fiscal Year Revenue:** N/A  
**Funding Source:** N/A

**Prior Board Action:** 10/4/11

**RECOMMENDED ACTION(S):**

1. Approve the IT Sourcing Executive Committee's recommendation to enter into negotiations with SAIC as the primary and Xerox as the secondary vendor for Scope 1 services.
2. Approve the IT Sourcing Executive Committee's recommendation to enter into negotiations with Xerox as the primary and Verizon as the secondary vendor for Scope 2 services.
3. Direct the CEO to return to the Board with final negotiated contract(s) for approval by the Board.

**SUMMARY:**

On February 2, 2010 your Board authorized the development of a Request for Proposal (RFP) for a managed services contract for Information Technology services that are provided through CEO/IT via the current staff augmentation contract with Xerox State and Local Solutions. The Board also authorized the inclusion of requirements for a Countywide converged voice and data network in the same RFP. The RFP process has been underway and final evaluations have been completed. Results of the evaluations were presented to the Source Selection Committee which made recommendations to the IT Sourcing Executive Committee. This Committee concurs with their recommendations as follows:

- Select SAIC as the primary and Xerox as the secondary vendor to enter into negotiations for Scope 1 services.
- Select Xerox as the primary and Verizon as the secondary vendor to enter into negotiations for Scope 2 services.

We are requesting your Board's approval to proceed with final negotiations with the primary vendors mentioned above and we plan to return to your Board with a final contract(s) in mid-December 2012.

#### **BACKGROUND INFORMATION:**

On February 2, 2010 your Board authorized the development of a Request for Proposal (RFP) for a managed services contract for Information Technology services that are provided through CEO/IT via the current staff augmentation contract with Xerox State and Local Solutions. The Board also authorized the inclusion of requirements for a Countywide converged voice and data network in the same RFP.

The original ten year term of the County's contract with Xerox expired in June 2011 and has been extended through February 19, 2013, with an additional optional extension through June 19, 2013. The County is seeking a new IT sourcing service delivery model, which is critical in light of the following business drivers and the objectives behind this model:

- Necessary infrastructure upgrades
- Opportunity for Countywide assessment of IT service delivery, lowering cost, and improving services
- County's severe economic pressure
- Leverage current IT sourcing models -- there have been dramatic improvements in the last 10 years in how IT services are delivered
- Board of Supervisors' expectations of cost-justified IT

The established sourcing strategy goals include:

- Improved service delivery
- Guaranteed service levels
- Timely technology refresh and legacy system renewal
- Maximum resource flexibility
- Innovation (continuous improvement)
- Increased cost efficiencies

The RFP included two separate scopes of work. Scope 1 includes Data Center Operations, Service Desk, Desktop Support and Applications Services. In addition to CEO/IT, four other Agencies have shown interest and have been included in Scope 1 for pricing. Scope 2 includes Countywide Voice and Data Network. This scope proposes to transform the County from its current end-of-life voice system with separate data network lines to a converged voice and data network infrastructure with Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) capabilities.

Vendors were allowed to bid on either scope or both scopes. In addition, they will be required to provide all services within the United States and services must be staffed by U.S. located resources. Finally,

vendors had the option of providing services through the Orange County Data Center, from their own facilities located within the U.S., or a combination thereof.

### **RFP Development**

Following your Board's direction, RFP development began in February 2010. Over a period of several months CEO/IT held numerous workshops with Agency/Department IT Managers and subject matter experts from CEO/IT to develop the Scopes of Work (SOW). Representatives from Health Care Agency, Social Services Agency, OC Community Resources, District Attorney, Public Defender, Probation, Clerk-Recorder, Auditor-Controller, Assessor, OC Public Works, OC Waste & Recycling and Sheriff's Department assisted in the development of the SOWs. In addition, several full days were dedicated to development of the Voice and Network Scope of Work with assistance from Agency IT managers.

In June 2010, the Board established a Board IT Committee to review the recommendations and findings from the Performance Auditor reports of CEO/IT, before considering release of the RFP. The RFP development process was put on hold until January 2011. On March 1, 2011, the Board authorized the release of the RFP, which was formally released on April 8, 2011. Following release of the RFP the County conducted a Pre-Proposal Conference to answer questions from prospective vendors. The County also made available to the vendors the County Reference Library which included County confidential information such as network diagrams, Standard Operating Procedures, Policies & Procedures, etc. In addition, tours of the Orange County Data Center were provided to prospective vendors. Finally, the County received and responded to almost 600 questions from vendors before the initial proposals were submitted. Proposals were due on June 29, 2011. The County received proposals from six vendors. Four proposed only Scope 1, three proposed only Scope 2 and one proposed both Scopes 1 and 2.

### **Evaluation Process – Initial 6 Vendors (7 Proposals)**

Given the complexity and criticality of the RFP, the proposal evaluation process has been extensive. The evaluation teams were comprised of over 40 County stakeholders from Agencies/Departments and CEO/IT, and non-County entities. These teams were staffed with individuals possessing technical expertise in specific service areas. Additionally, a Source Selection Committee was established, consisting of County and non-County participants, to view the consolidated scoring and recommendations from each technical evaluation team. Furthermore, an IT Sourcing Executive Committee, comprised of County executive management and led by the County Executive Officer, provides oversight.

Beginning immediately after the proposal due date, the evaluation teams began reviewing the proposals. Vendor presentations were held from August 29 – September 7, 2011. After initial review of the written proposals and presentations by vendors, it was apparent that the vendors needed clarification on the voice and data network scope of work. Due to the size and complexity of the County's voice and data network systems, additional clarification efforts (additional information, due diligence by vendors, and question and answer sessions) were made to ensure that the proposed solutions will meet County's current and future needs.

In lieu of down-selecting vendors in November 2011, the decision was made to include all six prospective bidders in the due diligence process and allow them to participate in the Best and Final Offer (BAFO) process. The original schedule was based on the assumption of entering this phase with four vendors (two for Scope 1 and two for Scope 2). This decision provided for a thorough vetting of all six bidders and resulted in a six-month delay in the project due to the additional work.

### **Evaluation Process – Best and Final Offer (BAFO)**

BAFO instructions were released in February 2012. Following evaluation of the BAFO proposals, in May 2012 the IT Sourcing Executive Committee recommended that the County down-select and proceed with three Vendors for Scope 1 (HP, SAIC and Xerox) and two Vendors for Scope 2 (Verizon and Xerox). (The original plan was to down-select to two vendors for each scope). Additional time was added to the schedule to accommodate the additional vendor in Scope 1 and to complete the final BAFO process – including time to develop negotiation strategies; allowing vendors an additional opportunity to perform due diligence, including visits to County facilities as chosen by vendors; question and answer sessions; detailed discussions with Scope 2 vendors on their proposed solutions; performing preliminary negotiations; and evaluating final BAFO responses. Throughout the entire procurement process the County continued to accept and responded to written questions submitted by vendors. Responses to questions submitted by vendors that pertained to the general technical scope were made available to all vendors. Questions regarding a vendor's specific solution were not shared with other vendors.

### **Final Evaluations – 4 Vendors (5 Proposals)**

At the end of July 2012, the County released final proposal instructions to vendors. Final proposals were received at the end of August and final evaluations have been completed. Vendor proposals were evaluated on several criteria including:

- Completeness, quantifiable benefit to the County and quality of the vendor's proposal
- Vendor's ability to deliver services
- Vendor's business profile
- Range of services offered by the vendor
- Solution offering across each service area
- Flexibility and creativity offered by vendor
- Technical fit
- Solution cost
- Relationship management approach (governance)
- Qualifications of the vendor's proposed team

In addition, the County conducted several reference checks on each vendor to verify that the vendor had successfully provided similar services to other clients. These reference checks were conducted with both government entities (at the federal, state and local level) and private companies.

All vendors proposed using both the Orange County Data Center and vendor facilities located within the United States. Members of the evaluation team conducted on-site meetings at these remote locations to assess first-hand the vendors' facilities, teams, processes and tools. The results of these assessments were factored into the evaluation results.

Results of the evaluations were presented to the Source Selection Committee which made recommendations to the IT Sourcing Executive Committee. This Committee concurs with their recommendations as follows:

- Select SAIC as the primary and Xerox as the secondary vendor to enter into negotiations for Scope 1 services.
- Select Xerox as the primary and Verizon as the secondary vendor to enter into negotiations for Scope 2 services.

The chart below provides summary scores resulting from the evaluations:

	HP (Scope 1)	SAIC (Scope 1)	Xerox (Scope 1)		Xerox (Scope 2)	Verizon (Scope 2)
Total Weighted Score	299.9	362.7	318.0		359.7	282.2
Rank Number	#3	#1	#2		#1	#2

We are requesting your Board’s approval to proceed with final negotiations with the primary vendors mentioned above and plan to return to your Board with a final contract(s) in mid-December 2012.

**FINANCIAL IMPACT:**

The County is currently operating on a maintenance basis with the current network and voice system. The Scope 2, Countywide voice and data network scope of work will enable the County to transform from its current end-of-life voice system with separate data network lines, to a converged voice and data network infrastructure with VoIP capabilities. It is expected that the County will need to invest in one-time costs to upgrade to the new infrastructure, which will result in future cost avoidance. Ongoing costs for both Scope 1 and Scope 2 services are anticipated to be in-line with current expenditures. The County intends to provide a comparison of the current cost model with the new contract model after completion of negotiations with the recommended vendors.


**STAFFING IMPACT:**

It is anticipated that implementation of the new IT Sourcing contract(s) will have an impact on Countywide IT staffing positions. CEO/IT is working in conjunction with the Human Resources Department and County Counsel to mitigate these impacts and to conduct the necessary meet and confer sessions with impacted labor organizations.

**ATTACHMENT(S):**

IT Sourcing High Level Process Timeline  
Evaluation Scoring Sheets

# IT Sourcing RFP Process Timeline



\* RFP on-hold pending Board IT Committee's review of Performance Auditor reports on recommendations and findings of CEO/IT.

\*\* Initial Evaluations included scoring original written proposals, vendor presentations, proposal clarifications and re-scoring efforts.

\*\*\* Vendor Down-Select to three Scope 1 vendors and two Scope 2 Vendors

IT SOURCING RFP SCORING						
FINAL BAFO ROUND DUE 8/28/12						
	ACS S1 FINAL	ACS S2 FINAL	HP S1 FINAL	SAIC S1 FINAL	Verizon S2 FINAL	
	BAFO Round	BAFO Round	BAFO Round	BAFO Round	BAFO Round	COMMENTS
Section #1 - Vendor Compliance with the RFP Process						
Line 1 - Compliance with RFP process/rules						
Evaluator 1	3	3	3	3	3	
Evaluator 2	3	3	3	3	3	
Average Score	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	
Rounded Score	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	
Line 2 - Compliance with RFP timetable						
Evaluator 1	4	4	3	3	3	
Evaluator 2	4	4	3	3	3	
Average Score	4.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	
Rounded Score	4.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	
Line 3 - Level of engagement/quality & relevance of questions						
Evaluator 1	3	3	3	3	3.5	
Evaluator 2	3	3	3	3	3.5	
Average Score	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.50	
Rounded Score	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	
Line 4 - Compliance with technical proposal format						
Evaluator 1	3	3	3	3	4	
Evaluator 2	3	3	3	3	4	
Average Score	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	
Rounded Score	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	
Line 5 - Compliance with pricing proposal format						
Evaluator 1	3	3	3	3	3	
Evaluator 2	3	3	3	3	3	
Average Score	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	
Rounded Score	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	
Line 6 - Quality of Proposal responses, answers and additional documentation						
Evaluator 1	3	3	5	5	2.5	
Evaluator 2	3	3	5	5	2.5	
Average Score	3.00	3.00	5.00	5.00	2.50	
Rounded Score	3.00	3.00	5.00	5.00	2.50	
Line 7 - Proposal Oral Presentation						
Evaluator 1	4	4	4	4.5	4.5	
Evaluator 2	4	4	4	4.5	4.5	
Average Score	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.50	4.50	
Rounded Score	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.50	4.50	
	ACS S1 FINAL	ACS S2 FINAL	HP S1 FINAL	SAIC S1 FINAL	Verizon S2 FINAL	
	BAFO Round	BAFO Round	BAFO Round	BAFO Round	BAFO Round	COMMENTS
Section #2 - Vendor Profile						
Line 1 - Vendor Organization Overview						
Evaluator 1	3	3	3	3	3	
Evaluator 2	3	3	3	3	3	
Average Score	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	
Rounded Score	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	
Line 2 - Quality/Use of Subcontractors						
Evaluator 1	4	4	2.5	4	3	
Evaluator 2	4	4	2.5	4	3	
Average Score	4.00	4.00	2.50	4.00	3.00	
Rounded Score	4.00	4.00	2.50	4.00	3.00	
Line 3 - Vendor Business Profile and Strategy						
Evaluator 1	3	3	4	3	2	
Evaluator 2	3	3	4	3	2	
Average Score	3.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	2.00	
Rounded Score	3.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	2.00	
Line 4 - Vendor Certifications						
Evaluator 1	3	3	3	3	3	
Evaluator 2	3	3	3	3	3	
Average Score	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	
Rounded Score	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	
Line 5 - Management Practices and Procedures						
Evaluator 1	4	4	4	4	3.5	
Evaluator 2	4	4	4	4	3.5	
Average Score	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.50	
Rounded Score	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.50	
	ACS S1 FINAL	ACS S2 FINAL	HP S1 FINAL	SAIC S1 FINAL	Verizon S2 FINAL	
	BAFO Round	BAFO Round	BAFO Round	BAFO Round	BAFO Round	COMMENTS
Section #3 - Conformance with Contract Requirements						
Line 1 - MSA Acceptance and Exceptions						
Evaluator 1	2	2	2.5	2.5	2.5	
Evaluator 2	2	2	2.5	2.5	2.5	
Evaluator 3	2	2	2.5	2.5	2.5	
Evaluator 4						NOT NEEDED FOR THIS ROUND NO RISK OR INSURANCE CHANGES
Average Score	2.00	2.00	2.50	2.50	2.50	
Rounded Score	2.00	2.00	2.50	2.50	2.50	
Line 2 - Benchmarking Acceptance and Exceptions						
Evaluator 1	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	
Evaluator 2	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	
Evaluator 3	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	
Evaluator 4						NOT NEEDED FOR THIS ROUND NO RISK OR INSURANCE CHANGES
Average Score	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	
Rounded Score	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	
Line 3 - Fees Exceptions						
Evaluator 1	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	
Evaluator 2	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	
Evaluator 3	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	
Evaluator 4						NOT NEEDED FOR THIS ROUND NO RISK OR INSURANCE CHANGES
Average Score	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	
Rounded Score	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	
Line 4 - Fee Reduction Exceptions						
Evaluator 1	2	2	2.5	2.5	2.5	
Evaluator 2	2	2	2.5	2.5	2.5	
Evaluator 3	2	2	2.5	2.5	2.5	
Evaluator 4						NOT NEEDED FOR THIS ROUND NO RISK OR INSURANCE CHANGES
Average Score	2.00	2.00	2.50	2.50	2.50	
Rounded Score	2.00	2.00	2.50	2.50	2.50	
Line 5 - Compliance with negotiation rules and procedures						
Evaluator 1	3	3	3	3	3	
Evaluator 2	3	3	3	3	3	
Evaluator 3	3	3	3	3	3	
Evaluator 4						NOT NEEDED FOR THIS ROUND NO RISK OR INSURANCE CHANGES
Average Score	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	
Rounded Score	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	

		ACS S1 FINAL	ACS S2 FINAL	HP S1 FINAL	SAIC S1 FINAL	Verizon S2 FINAL	COMMENTS
		BAFO Round	BAFO Round	BAFO Round	BAFO Round	BAFO Round	
<b>Section #4 - Relationship Management Svcs and Vendor Staffing</b>							
<b>Line 1 - Relationship Mgmt Svcs Requirements acceptance</b>							
Evaluator 5							NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Evaluator 1		3	3	3	4	2.5	
Evaluator 6		3	3	3	4	2.5	
Evaluator 7							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 8							NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Evaluator 9							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 10							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
							REPLACED EMPLOYEE WHO IS NO LONGER WITH THE COUNTY-HAS EXPERTISE
Evaluator 23		3	3	3	3.5	2.5	
Average Score		3.00	3.00	3.00	3.83	2.50	
Rounded Score		3.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	2.50	
<b>Line 2 - Organization Chart and Key Roles</b>							
Evaluator 5							NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Evaluator 1		3.5	3.5	3.5	5	3.5	
Evaluator 6		3.5	3.5	3.5	4	3.5	
Evaluator 7							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 8							NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Evaluator 9							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 10							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
							REPLACED EMPLOYEE WHO IS NO LONGER WITH THE COUNTY-HAS EXPERTISE
Evaluator 23		3	3	3	4	3.5	
Average Score		3.33	3.33	3.33	4.33	3.50	
Rounded Score		3.50	3.50	3.50	4.50	3.50	
<b>Line 3 - Governance Process</b>							
Evaluator 5							NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Evaluator 1		3	3	3.5	4	3	
Evaluator 6		3	3	3.5	4	3	
Evaluator 7							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 8							NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Evaluator 9							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 10							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
							REPLACED EMPLOYEE WHO IS NO LONGER WITH THE COUNTY-HAS EXPERTISE
Evaluator 23		3	3	3.5	3.5	3	
Average Score		3.00	3.00	3.50	3.83	3.00	
Rounded Score		3.00	3.00	3.50	4.00	3.00	
<b>Line 4 - Biographies</b>							
Evaluator 5							NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Evaluator 1		3	3	3	3.5	3	
Evaluator 6		3	3	3	3.5	3	
Evaluator 7							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 8							NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Evaluator 9							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 10							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
							REPLACED EMPLOYEE WHO IS NO LONGER WITH THE COUNTY-HAS EXPERTISE
Evaluator 23		3	3	3	3	3	
Average Score		3.00	3.00	3.00	3.33	3.00	
Rounded Score		3.00	3.00	3.00	3.50	3.00	
<b>Line 5 - Professional Development</b>							
Evaluator 5							NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Evaluator 1		2.5	2.5	3	3	4	
Evaluator 6		2.5	2.5	3	3	4	
Evaluator 7							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 8							NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Evaluator 9							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 10							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
							REPLACED EMPLOYEE WHO IS NO LONGER WITH THE COUNTY-HAS EXPERTISE
Evaluator 23		3	3	3	3	4	
Average Score		2.67	2.67	3.00	3.00	4.00	
Rounded Score		3.00	3.00	3.00	3.50	3.00	
<b>Line 6 - Annual turnover rates</b>							
Evaluator 5							NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Evaluator 1		2.5	2.5	3.5	3	2.5	
Evaluator 6		2.5	2.5	3.5	3	2.5	
Evaluator 7							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 8							NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Evaluator 9							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 10							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
							REPLACED EMPLOYEE WHO IS NO LONGER WITH THE COUNTY-HAS EXPERTISE
Evaluator 23		2.5	2.5	3.5	3	2.5	
Average Score		2.50	2.50	3.50	3.00	2.50	
Rounded Score		2.50	2.50	3.50	3.00	2.50	
<b>Line 7 - Compensation</b>							
Evaluator 5							NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Evaluator 1		3.5	3.5	3	3	2	
Evaluator 6		3.5	3.5	3	3	2	
Evaluator 7							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 8							NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Evaluator 9							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 10							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
							REPLACED EMPLOYEE WHO IS NO LONGER WITH THE COUNTY-HAS EXPERTISE
Evaluator 23		3	3	3	3	2	
Average Score		3.33	3.33	3.00	3.00	2.00	
Rounded Score		3.50	3.50	3.00	3.00	2.00	



Section #5 - IT Service Management & Life Cycle Services		ACS S1 FINAL	ACS S2 FINAL	HP S1 FINAL	SAIC S1 FINAL	Verizon S2 FINAL	COMMENTS
Line 1 - IT Service Management & Life Cycle Solution		BAFO Round	BAFO Round	BAFO Round	BAFO Round	BAFO Round	
Evaluator 5							NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Evaluator 1		3.5	3.5	2.5	4	4	
Evaluator 6		3.5	3.5	2.5	4	4	
Evaluator 7							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 8							NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Evaluator 9							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 10							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
							REPLACED EMPLOYEE WHO IS NO LONGER WITH THE COUNTY-HAS EXPERTISE
Evaluator 23		3	3	2.5	3.5	4	
Average Score		3.33	3.33	2.50	3.83	4.00	
Rounded Score		3.50	3.50	2.50	4.00	4.00	
Line 2 - IT Service Management & Life Cycle Services Questions							NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Evaluator 5							
Evaluator 1		3	3	3.5	3	3	
Evaluator 6		3	3	3.5	3	3	
Evaluator 7							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 8							NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Evaluator 9							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 10							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
							REPLACED EMPLOYEE WHO IS NO LONGER WITH THE COUNTY-HAS EXPERTISE
Evaluator 23		3	3	3.5	3	3	
Average Score		3.00	3.00	3.50	3.00	3.00	
Rounded Score		3.00	3.00	3.50	3.00	3.00	
Line 3 - IT Service Management & Life Cycle Service Requirements Acceptance							NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Evaluator 5							
Evaluator 1		3	3	3	2.5	3	
Evaluator 6		3	3	3	2.5	3	
Evaluator 7							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 8							NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Evaluator 9							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 10							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
							REPLACED EMPLOYEE WHO IS NO LONGER WITH THE COUNTY-HAS EXPERTISE
Evaluator 23		3	3	3	2.5	3	
Average Score		3.00	3.00	3.00	2.50	3.00	
Rounded Score		3.00	3.00	3.00	2.50	3.00	
Line 4 - IT Service Management & Life Cycle Service Environment Acceptance							NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Evaluator 5							
Evaluator 1		3	3	3	3	3	
Evaluator 6		3	3	3	3	3	
Evaluator 7							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 8							NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Evaluator 9							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 10							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
							REPLACED EMPLOYEE WHO IS NO LONGER WITH THE COUNTY-HAS EXPERTISE
Evaluator 23		3	3	3	3	3	
Average Score		3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	
Rounded Score		3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	
Line 5 - IT Service Management & Life Cycle Service Level Requirements Acceptance							NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Evaluator 5							
Evaluator 1		2.5	2.5	3	3	2	
Evaluator 6		2.5	2.5	3	3	2	
Evaluator 7							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 8							NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Evaluator 9							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 10							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
							REPLACED EMPLOYEE WHO IS NO LONGER WITH THE COUNTY-HAS EXPERTISE
Evaluator 23		2.5	2.5	3	3	2	
Average Score		2.50	2.50	3.00	3.00	2.00	
Rounded Score		2.50	2.50	3.00	3.00	2.00	
Line 6 - Technology Refresh Plan and Schedule							NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Evaluator 5							
Evaluator 1		3	3	3	3	3	
Evaluator 6		3	3	3	3	3	
Evaluator 7							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 8							NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Evaluator 9							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 10							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
							REPLACED EMPLOYEE WHO IS NO LONGER WITH THE COUNTY-HAS EXPERTISE
Evaluator 23		3	3	3	3	3	
Average Score		3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	
Rounded Score		3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	
Line 7 - IT Service Management & Life Cycle Tools							NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Evaluator 5							
Evaluator 1		2.5	2.5	3.5	4	3	
Evaluator 6		2.5	2.5	3.5	4	3	
Evaluator 7							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 8							NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Evaluator 9							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 10							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
							REPLACED EMPLOYEE WHO IS NO LONGER WITH THE COUNTY-HAS EXPERTISE
Evaluator 23		2.5	2.5	4	4	3	
Average Score		2.50	2.50	3.67	4.00	3.00	
Rounded Score		2.50	2.50	3.50	4.00	3.00	

Section #6 - Data Center Services		ACS S1 FINAL	ACS S2 FINAL	HP S1 FINAL	SAIC S1 FINAL	Verizon S2 FINAL	COMMENTS
Line 1 - Data Center Solutions		BAFO Round	BAFO Round	BAFO Round	BAFO Round	BAFO Round	
Evaluator 11							DROPPED OUT DUE TO TIME CONSTRAINTS - MOVED ON TO OTHER PROJECTS
Evaluator 12		4			3	3	
Evaluator 13		4			3	3.5	MOVED TO VOICE AND DATA SECTIONS
Evaluator 14							
Evaluator 15		3			4	3	ADDED DUE TO EXPERTISE
Evaluator 16		3.5			3.5	4	
Evaluator 23		3			4	3	
Average Score		3.50			3.50	3.30	
Rounded Score		3.50			3.50	3.50	
Line 2 - Email Solution							DROPPED OUT DUE TO TIME CONSTRAINTS - MOVED ON TO OTHER PROJECTS
Evaluator 11							
Evaluator 12		3			3	3	MOVED TO VOICE AND DATA SECTIONS
Evaluator 13		3			3	3	
Evaluator 14							ADDED DUE TO EXPERTISE
Evaluator 15		2.5			3	3	
Evaluator 16		3			3	3.5	
Evaluator 23		2.5			4	3	
Average Score		2.80			3.20	3.10	
Rounded Score		3.00			3.00	3.00	
Line 3 - Data Center Services Questions							DROPPED OUT DUE TO TIME CONSTRAINTS - MOVED ON TO OTHER PROJECTS
Evaluator 11							
Evaluator 12		3.5			3.5	3	MOVED TO VOICE AND DATA SECTIONS
Evaluator 13		3.5			2.5	3	
Evaluator 14							ADDED DUE TO EXPERTISE
Evaluator 15		2			4	3	
Evaluator 16		3.5			3	4	
Evaluator 23		2.5			4	3	
Average Score		3.00			3.30	3.20	
Rounded Score		3.00			3.50	3.00	
Line 4 - Data Center Service Requirements Acceptance							DROPPED OUT DUE TO TIME CONSTRAINTS - MOVED ON TO OTHER PROJECTS
Evaluator 11							
Evaluator 12		3.5			3	3.5	MOVED TO VOICE AND DATA SECTIONS
Evaluator 13		3.5			3	3.5	
Evaluator 14							ADDED DUE TO EXPERTISE
Evaluator 15		3			4	3	
Evaluator 16		3.5			4	3.5	
Evaluator 23		3			3	3	
Average Score		3.30			3.40	3.30	
Rounded Score		3.50			3.50	3.50	
Line 5 - Data Center Service Environment Requirements							DROPPED OUT DUE TO TIME CONSTRAINTS - MOVED ON TO OTHER PROJECTS
Evaluator 11							
Evaluator 12		3.5			3	3	MOVED TO VOICE AND DATA SECTIONS
Evaluator 13		3.5			3	3	
Evaluator 14							ADDED DUE TO EXPERTISE
Evaluator 15		3			3	3	
Evaluator 16		3			3	3	
Evaluator 23		3			3	3	
Average Score		3.20			3.00	3.00	
Rounded Score		3.00			3.00	3.00	
Line 6 - Data Center Service Level Requirements							DROPPED OUT DUE TO TIME CONSTRAINTS - MOVED ON TO OTHER PROJECTS
Evaluator 11							
Evaluator 12		3.5			3	3	MOVED TO VOICE AND DATA SECTIONS
Evaluator 13		3.5			3	3	
Evaluator 14							ADDED DUE TO EXPERTISE
Evaluator 15		3			3	3	
Evaluator 16		3			3	3	
Evaluator 23		3			3	3	
Average Score		3.20			3.00	3.00	
Rounded Score		3.00			3.00	3.00	
Line 7 - IT Service Continuity and DR Program							DROPPED OUT DUE TO TIME CONSTRAINTS - MOVED ON TO OTHER PROJECTS
Evaluator 11							
Evaluator 12		3.5			3	3.5	MOVED TO VOICE AND DATA SECTIONS
Evaluator 13		4			3	3	
Evaluator 14							ADDED DUE TO EXPERTISE
Evaluator 15		3			4	3	
Evaluator 16		3.5			4	3	
Evaluator 23		3			3	3	
Average Score		3.40			3.40	3.10	
Rounded Score		3.50			3.50	3.00	
Line 8 - Data Center Tools							DROPPED OUT DUE TO TIME CONSTRAINTS - MOVED ON TO OTHER PROJECTS
Evaluator 11							
Evaluator 12		3			2.5	3.5	MOVED TO VOICE AND DATA SECTIONS
Evaluator 13		3.5			2.5	3.5	
Evaluator 14							ADDED DUE TO EXPERTISE
Evaluator 15		3			4	3	
Evaluator 16		3.5			3	3	
Evaluator 23		3			4	2.5	
Average Score		3.20			3.20	3.10	
Rounded Score		3.00			3.00	3.00	

Section #7 - Service Desk Services						
	ACS S1 FINAL	ACS S2 FINAL	HP S1 FINAL	SAIC S1 FINAL	Verizon S2 FINAL	
	BAFO Round	BAFO Round	BAFO Round	BAFO Round	BAFO Round	COMMENTS
Line 1 - Service Desk Solutions						
Evaluator 17	3		3.5	3.5		
Evaluator 18	3		3	3.5		
Evaluator 19						NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Evaluator 20	3		4.5	3		
						EXTERNAL EVALUATOR - DROPPED OUT DUE TO TIME CONSTRAINTS
Evaluator 21						
Average Score	2.83		3.67	3.33		
Rounded Score	3.00		3.50	3.50		
Line 2 - Service Desk Services Questions						
Evaluator 17	3		3	3.5		
Evaluator 18	3		3.5	3.5		
Evaluator 19						NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Evaluator 20	3		3.5	3		
						EXTERNAL EVALUATOR - DROPPED OUT DUE TO TIME CONSTRAINTS
Evaluator 21						
Average Score	3.00		3.33	3.33		
Rounded Score	3.00		3.50	3.50		
Line 3 - Service Desk Service Requirements Acceptance						
Evaluator 17	3		3	3		
Evaluator 18	3		3	3		
Evaluator 19						NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Evaluator 20	3		3	3		
						EXTERNAL EVALUATOR - DROPPED OUT DUE TO TIME CONSTRAINTS
Evaluator 21						
Average Score	3.00		3.00	3.00		
Rounded Score	3.00		3.00	3.00		
Line 4 - Service Desk Service Environment Acceptance						
Evaluator 17	3		3	3		
Evaluator 18	3		3	3		
Evaluator 19						NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Evaluator 20	3		3	3		
						EXTERNAL EVALUATOR - DROPPED OUT DUE TO TIME CONSTRAINTS
Evaluator 21						
Average Score	3.00		3.00	3.00		
Rounded Score	3.00		3.00	3.00		
Line 5 - Service Desk Service Level Requirements Acceptance						
Evaluator 17	2.5		3	2.5		
Evaluator 18	2.5		3	2.5		
Evaluator 19						NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Evaluator 20	2.5		3	2		
						EXTERNAL EVALUATOR - DROPPED OUT DUE TO TIME CONSTRAINTS
Evaluator 21						
Average Score	2.50		3.00	2.33		
Rounded Score	2.50		3.00	2.50		
Line 6 - Service Desk Tools						
Evaluator 17	3		3.5	3.5		
Evaluator 18	3		3	3.5		
Evaluator 19						DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 20	3		4	4		
						EXTERNAL EVALUATOR - DROPPED OUT DUE TO TIME CONSTRAINTS
Evaluator 21						
Average Score	3.00		3.50	3.67		
Rounded Score	3.00		3.50	3.50		

	ACS S1 FINAL	ACS S2 FINAL	HP S1 FINAL	SAIC S1 FINAL	Verizon S2 FINAL	
	BAFO Round	BAFO Round	BAFO Round	BAFO Round	BAFO Round	COMMENTS
Section #8 - Desktop Support Services						
Line 1 - Desktop Support Solutions						
Evaluator 17	3		3.5	3		
Evaluator 18	3		3	3		
Evaluator 19						DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 20	3.5		3.5	3		
Evaluator 21						DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Average Score	3.17		3.33	3.00		
Rounded Score	3.00		3.50	3.00		
Line 2 - Desktop Support Services Questions						
Evaluator 17	3.5		3.5	3		
Evaluator 18	3		3.5	3		
Evaluator 19						DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 20	3.5		3.5	3		
Evaluator 21						DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Average Score	3.33		3.50	3.00		
Rounded Score	3.50		3.50	3.00		
Line 3 - Desktop Support Service Requirements Acceptance						
Evaluator 17	3		3	3		
Evaluator 18	3		3	3		
Evaluator 19						DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 20	3		3	3		
Evaluator 21						DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Average Score	3.00		3.00	3.00		
Rounded Score	3.00		3.00	3.00		
Line 4 - Desktop Support Service Environment Acceptance						
Evaluator 17	3		3	3		
Evaluator 18	3		3	3		
Evaluator 19						DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 20	3		3	3		
Evaluator 21						DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Average Score	3.00		3.00	3.00		
Rounded Score	3.00		3.00	3.00		
Line 5 - Desktop Support Service Level Requirements						
Evaluator 17	3		3	3		
Evaluator 18	3		3	3		
Evaluator 19						DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 20	3		3	3		
Evaluator 21						DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Average Score	3.00		3.00	3.00		
Rounded Score	3.00		3.00	3.00		
Line 6 - Desktop Support Tools						
Evaluator 17	3.5		3.5	3.5		
Evaluator 18	3		3	3		
Evaluator 19						DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 20	4		4	3		
Evaluator 21						DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Average Score	3.50		3.50	3.17		
Rounded Score	3.50		3.50	3.00		
	ACS S1 FINAL	ACS S2 FINAL	HP S1 FINAL	SAIC S1 FINAL	Verizon S2 FINAL	
	BAFO Round	BAFO Round	BAFO Round	BAFO Round	BAFO Round	COMMENTS
Section #9 - Application Development & Maintenance Services						
Line 1 - Application Development & Maintenance Services						
Evaluator 18	3		3.5	4		
Evaluator 16	2.5		4	4		
Evaluator 22	3		3	3		
Average Score	2.83		3.50	3.67		
Rounded Score	3.00		3.50	3.50		
Line 2 - Application Development & Maintenance Services Questions						
Evaluator 18	2.5		3	3		
Evaluator 16	3		3.5	3		
Evaluator 22	3		3.5	3.5		
Average Score	2.83		3.33	3.17		
Rounded Score	3.00		3.50	3.00		
Line 3 - Application Development and Maintenance Services Requirements Acceptance						
Evaluator 18	3		3	3		
Evaluator 16	3		3.5	3		
Evaluator 22	3		3.5	3		
Average Score	3.00		3.33	3.00		
Rounded Score	3.00		3.50	3.00		
Line 4 - Application Development and Maintenance Service Environment Acceptance						
Evaluator 18	3		3	3		
Evaluator 16	3		3.5	3		
Evaluator 22	3		3.5	3		
Average Score	3.00		3.33	3.00		
Rounded Score	3.00		3.50	3.00		
Line 5 - Application Development and Maintenance Service Level Requirements Acceptance						
Evaluator 18	2.5		2	2.5		
Evaluator 16	3		3	3		
Evaluator 22	3		3	3		
Average Score	2.83		2.67	2.83		
Rounded Score	3.00		2.50	3.00		
Line 6 - Application Development and Maintenance Tools						
Evaluator 18	2.5		3.5	3		
Evaluator 16	3		3	3		
Evaluator 22	2.5		4	3		
Average Score	2.67		3.50	3.00		0.00
Rounded Score	2.50		3.50	3.00		

Section #10 - Data Network Services						
	ACS S1 FINAL BAFO Round	ACS S2 FINAL BAFO Round	HP S1 FINAL BAFO Round	SAIC S1 FINAL BAFO Round	Verizon S2 FINAL BAFO Round	COMMENTS
Line 1 - Data Network Solution						
Evaluator 12		3			4	
Evaluator 23		3			3.5	
Evaluator 13		4			3	
Evaluator 24						DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 25		4			3.5	
Evaluator 26		3.5			3	
Evaluator 27		4			4	
Evaluator 28		3			3	
Evaluator 29						DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 14		3			3	
Evaluator 20		3.5			3.5	
Average Score		3.44			3.39	
Rounded Score		3.50			3.50	
Line 2 - Data Network Services Questions						
Evaluator 12		3			4	
Evaluator 23		3			3	
Evaluator 13		4			3	
Evaluator 24						DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 25		4			3.5	
Evaluator 26		3.5			3	
Evaluator 27		4			3	
Evaluator 28		3			3	
Evaluator 29						DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 14		2.5			3.5	
Evaluator 20		2.5			4	
Average Score		3.28			3.33	
Rounded Score		3.50			3.50	
Line 3- Data Network Service Requirements Acceptance						
Evaluator 12		3			3	
Evaluator 23		2.5			3	
Evaluator 13		3.5			3	
Evaluator 24						DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 25		3			3	
Evaluator 26		3			3	
Evaluator 27		3			3	
Evaluator 28		2.5			3	
Evaluator 29						DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 14		3			3	
Evaluator 20		3			3	
Average Score		2.94			3.00	
Rounded Score		3.00			3.00	
Line 4 - Data Network Service Environment Requirements						
Evaluator 12		3			3	
Evaluator 23		3			3	
Evaluator 13		3			3	
Evaluator 24						DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 25		3			3	
Evaluator 26		3			3	
Evaluator 27		3			3	
Evaluator 28		3			3	
Evaluator 29						DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 14		3			3	
Evaluator 20		3			3	
Average Score		3.00			3.00	
Rounded Score		3.00			3.00	
Line 5 - Data Network Service Level Requirements						
Evaluator 12		3			3	
Evaluator 23		3			3	
Evaluator 13		3.5			3	
Evaluator 24						DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 25		3			3	
Evaluator 26		3.5			3	
Evaluator 27		3			3	
Evaluator 28		3			3	
Evaluator 29						DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 14		3			3	
Evaluator 20		3			3	
Average Score		3.11			3.00	
Rounded Score		3.00			3.00	
Line 6 - Data Network Tools						
Evaluator 12		2.5			3.5	
Evaluator 23		3			3.5	
Evaluator 13		4			3	
Evaluator 24						DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 25		4			3.5	
Evaluator 26		3			3	
Evaluator 27		3			3	
Evaluator 28		3			3	
Evaluator 29						DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 14		3			2.5	
Evaluator 20		3			3.5	
Average Score		3.17			3.17	
Rounded Score		3.00			3.00	

		ACS S1 FINAL	ACS S2 FINAL	HP S1 FINAL	SAIC S1 FINAL	Verizon S2 FINAL	COMMENTS
		BAFO Round	BAFO Round	BAFO Round	BAFO Round	BAFO Round	
<b>Section #11 - Voice Communications Services</b>							
<b>Line 1 - Voice Communications Solution</b>							
Evaluator 12			2.5			3.5	
Evaluator 23			2			4	
Evaluator 13			3.5			2.5	
Evaluator 24							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 25			3.5			3	
Evaluator 26			3.5			2.5	
Evaluator 27			3.5			3.5	
Evaluator 28			3			3	
Evaluator 29							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 14			3.5			2.5	
Evaluator 20			2.5			4	
Average Score			3.06			3.17	
Rounded Score			3.00			3.00	
<b>Line 2 - Voice Communications Services Questions</b>							
Evaluator 12			3			3	
Evaluator 23			3			3	
Evaluator 13			3.5			3	
Evaluator 24							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 25			3			3	
Evaluator 26			3			3	
Evaluator 27			3.5			3	
Evaluator 28			3			3	
Evaluator 29							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 14			3			3	
Evaluator 20			2.5			3.5	
Average Score			3.06			3.06	
Rounded Score			3.00			3.00	
<b>Line 3 - Voice Communications Service Requirements Acceptance</b>							
Evaluator 12			3			3	
Evaluator 23			3			3	
Evaluator 13			3			3	
Evaluator 24							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 25			3			3	
Evaluator 26			3			3	
Evaluator 27			3			3	
Evaluator 28			3			3	
Evaluator 29							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 14			3			3	
Evaluator 20			3			3	
Average Score			3.00			3.00	
Rounded Score			3.00			3.00	
<b>Line 4 - Voice Communications Service Environment Requirements</b>							
Evaluator 12			3			3	
Evaluator 23			3			3	
Evaluator 13			3			3	
Evaluator 24							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 25			3			3	
Evaluator 26			3			3	
Evaluator 27			3			3.5	
Evaluator 28			3			3	
Evaluator 29							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 14			3			3	
Evaluator 20			3			3	
Average Score			3.00			3.06	
Rounded Score			3.00			3.00	
<b>Line 5 - Voice Communications Service Level Requirements</b>							
Evaluator 12			3			3	
Evaluator 23			3			3	
Evaluator 13			3			3	
Evaluator 24							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 25			3			3	
Evaluator 26			3			3	
Evaluator 27			3			3	
Evaluator 28			3			3	
Evaluator 29							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 14			3			3	
Evaluator 20			3			3	
Average Score			3.00			3.00	
Rounded Score			3.00			3.00	
<b>Line 6 - Voice Communications Tools</b>							
Evaluator 12			3			3	
Evaluator 23			3			3	
Evaluator 13			3			3	
Evaluator 24							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 25			3.5			2	
Evaluator 26			3			3	
Evaluator 27			3			2	
Evaluator 28			3			3	
Evaluator 29							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 14			3			3	
Evaluator 20			3			3	
Average Score			3.06			2.78	
Rounded Score			3.00			3.00	



Section #12 - Converged Network Management Services		ACS S1 FINAL	ACS S2 FINAL	HP S1 FINAL	SAIC S1 FINAL	Verizon S2 FINAL	COMMENTS
Line 1 - Converged Network Management Solution		BAFO Round	BAFO Round	BAFO Round	BAFO Round	BAFO Round	
Evaluator 12			2.5			3.5	
Evaluator 23			2.5			4.5	
Evaluator 13			3.5			2.5	
Evaluator 24							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 25			3.5			3	
Evaluator 26			3.5			2.5	
Evaluator 27			3.5			3.5	
Evaluator 28			3			3	
Evaluator 29							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 14			3.5			2.5	
Evaluator 20			3.5			2.5	
Average Score			3.22			3.06	
Rounded Score			3.00			3.00	
Line 2 - Converged Network Management Questions							
Evaluator 12			3			3.5	
Evaluator 23			2.5			3	
Evaluator 13			3.5			3	
Evaluator 24							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 25			4			3	
Evaluator 26			3.5			3	
Evaluator 27			3.5			3	
Evaluator 28			3			3	
Evaluator 29							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 14			4			3	
Evaluator 20			3			3	
Average Score			3.33			3.06	
Rounded Score			3.50			3.00	
Line 3 - Converged Network Management Service Requirements Acceptance							
Evaluator 12			3			3.5	
Evaluator 23			3			3	
Evaluator 13			3			3	
Evaluator 24							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 25			3			3	
Evaluator 26			3			3	
Evaluator 27			3			3	
Evaluator 28			3			3	
Evaluator 29							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 14			3			3	
Evaluator 20			3			3	
Average Score			3.00			3.06	
Rounded Score			3.00			3.00	
Line 4 - Converged Network Management Service Environment Acceptance							
Evaluator 12			3			3	
Evaluator 23			3			3	
Evaluator 13			3			3	
Evaluator 24							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 25			3			3	
Evaluator 26			3			3	
Evaluator 27			3			3	
Evaluator 28			3			3	
Evaluator 29							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 14			3			3	
Evaluator 20			3			3	
Average Score			3.00			3.00	
Rounded Score			3.00			3.00	
Line 5 - Converged Network Management Service Level Requirements							
Evaluator 12			3			2.5	
Evaluator 23			3			2.5	
Evaluator 13			3			2.5	
Evaluator 24							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 25			3			3	
Evaluator 26			3			2.5	
Evaluator 27			3			3	
Evaluator 28			3			3	
Evaluator 29							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 14			3			2.5	
Evaluator 20			3			3	
Average Score			3.00			2.72	
Rounded Score			3.00			3.00	
Line 6 - Converged Network Management Tools							
Evaluator 12			3			3.5	
Evaluator 23			3			3.5	
Evaluator 13			3.5			3	
Evaluator 24							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 25			3.5			3.5	
Evaluator 26			3.5			3	
Evaluator 27			3			3	
Evaluator 28			3			3	
Evaluator 29							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 14			3			3	
Evaluator 20			3			3	
Average Score			3.17			3.17	
Rounded Score			3.00			3.00	

		ACS S1 FINAL	ACS S2 FINAL	HP S1 FINAL	SAIC S1 FINAL	Verizon S2 FINAL	COMMENTS
		BAFO Round	BAFO Round	BAFO Round	BAFO Round	BAFO Round	
<b>Section #13 - Converged Network VOIP Services :</b>							
<b>Line 1 - Converged Network VOIP Solution</b>							
Evaluator 12			3				4
Evaluator 23			3				4
Evaluator 13			4				3
Evaluator 24							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 25			3.5				4
Evaluator 26			3.5				3
Evaluator 27			4				4
Evaluator 28			3				3
Evaluator 29							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 14			3				3
Evaluator 20			3				4
Average Score			3.33				3.56
Rounded Score			3.50				3.50
<b>Line 2 - Converged Network VOIP Questions</b>							
Evaluator 12			3				3.5
Evaluator 23			3				3.5
Evaluator 13			3.5				3
Evaluator 24							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 25			3.5				4
Evaluator 26			3				3
Evaluator 27			3.5				3.5
Evaluator 28			3				3
Evaluator 29							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 14			3				3
Evaluator 20			3				4
Average Score			3.17				3.39
Rounded Score			3.00				3.50
<b>Line 3 - Converged Network Management Service Requirements Acceptance</b>							
Evaluator 12			3				3
Evaluator 23			3				3
Evaluator 13			3				3
Evaluator 24							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 25			3				3
Evaluator 26			3				3
Evaluator 27			3				3
Evaluator 28							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 29			3				3
Evaluator 14			3				3
Evaluator 20			3.00				3.00
Average Score			3.00				3.00
Rounded Score							
<b>Line 4 - Converged Network VOIP Service Environment Acceptance</b>							
Evaluator 12			3				3
Evaluator 23			3				3
Evaluator 13			3				3
Evaluator 24							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 25			3				3
Evaluator 26			3				3
Evaluator 27			3				3
Evaluator 28							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 29			3				3
Evaluator 14			3				3
Evaluator 20			3.00				3.00
Average Score			3.00				3.00
Rounded Score							
<b>Line 5 - Converged Network VOIP Service Level Requirement:</b>							
Evaluator 12			3				3
Evaluator 23			3				3
Evaluator 13			3				2.5
Evaluator 24							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 25			3				3
Evaluator 26			3				2.5
Evaluator 27			3				3
Evaluator 28							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 29			3				2.5
Evaluator 14			3				3
Evaluator 20			3.00				2.83
Average Score			3.00				3.00
Rounded Score							
<b>Line 6 - Converged Network VOIP Tools</b>							
Evaluator 12			3				3.5
Evaluator 23			3				3.5
Evaluator 13			3.5				3
Evaluator 24							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 25			3.5				3
Evaluator 26			3				3
Evaluator 27			3				3
Evaluator 28							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 29			3				3
Evaluator 14			3				3
Evaluator 20			3.11				3.11
Average Score			3.00				3.00
Rounded Score							



		ACS S1 FINAL	ACS S2 FINAL	HP S1 FINAL	SAIC S1 FINAL	Verizon S2 FINAL	COMMENTS
		BAFO Round	BAFO Round	BAFO Round	BAFO Round	BAFO Round	
<b>Section #14 - Transition</b>							
<b>Line 1 - Management Processes</b>							
Evaluator 5							NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Evaluator 1		3	3	3.5	4.5	4	
Evaluator 6		3.5	3.5	3.5	4.5	4	
Evaluator 7							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 8							NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Evaluator 9							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 10							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Average Score		3.25	3.25	3.50	4.50	4.00	
Rounded Score		3.00	3.00	3.50	4.50	4.00	
<b>Line 2 - Technology Transition</b>							
Evaluator 5							NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Evaluator 1		3	3	3.5	4	3.5	
Evaluator 6		3	3	3.5	4	4	
Evaluator 7							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 8							NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Evaluator 9							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 10							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Average Score		3.00	3.00	3.50	4.00	3.75	
Rounded Score		3.00	3.00	3.50	4.00	4.00	
<b>Line 3 - Proposed Transition Schedule</b>							
Evaluator 5							NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Evaluator 1		3	3	4	4	4	
Evaluator 6		3	3	4	4	4	
Evaluator 7							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 8							NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Evaluator 9							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 10							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Average Score		3.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	
Rounded Score		3.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	
<b>Line 4 - Policies and Procedures Development Approach</b>							
Evaluator 5							NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Evaluator 1		3	3	3	3	3.5	
Evaluator 6		3	3	3	3	3.5	
Evaluator 7							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 8							NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Evaluator 9							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 10							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Average Score		3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.50	
Rounded Score		3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.50	
<b>Line 5 - Legal and Financial Transition</b>							
Evaluator 5							NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Evaluator 1		3	3	3	3	3	
Evaluator 6		3	3	3	3	3	
Evaluator 7							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 8							NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Evaluator 9							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 10							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Average Score		3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	
Rounded Score		3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	
<b>Line 6 - Vendor and County Roles and Responsibilities</b>							
Evaluator 5							NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Evaluator 1		3	3	3	4	4	
Evaluator 6		3	3	3	4	4	
Evaluator 7							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 8							NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Evaluator 9							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 10							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Average Score		3.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	
Rounded Score		3.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	
<b>Line 7 - Transition Plan Vendor Questions</b>							
Evaluator 5							NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Evaluator 1		3	3	3	4	3	
Evaluator 6		3	3	3	4	3	
Evaluator 7							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 8							NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Evaluator 9							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 10							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Average Score		3.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	
Rounded Score		3.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	
<b>Line 8 - Disentanglement</b>							
Evaluator 5							NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Evaluator 1		2.5	2.5	3	3	3	
Evaluator 6		2.5	2.5	3	3	3	
Evaluator 7							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 8							NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Evaluator 9							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 10							DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Average Score		2.50	2.50	3.00	3.00	3.00	
Rounded Score		2.50	2.50	3.00	3.00	3.00	

Section #15 - Pricing						
Line 1 - Data Center Recurring Fees						
	ACS S1 FINAL BAFO Round	ACS S2 FINAL BAFO Round	HP S1 FINAL BAFO Round	SAIC S1 FINAL BAFO Round	Verizon S2 FINAL BAFO Round	COMMENTS
Evaluator 30	2		2.5	5		
Evaluator 31	2.5		3	5		
Evaluator 32	2		2.5	5		
Evaluator 33	2		2.5	5		
Evaluator 34						NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Evaluator 35	2		2.5	5		
Evaluator 5						NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Average Score	2.10		2.60	5.00		
Rounded Score	2.00		2.50	5.00		
Line 2 - Service Desk Recurring Fees						
Evaluator 30	4		1	5		
Evaluator 31	4		2	4.5		
Evaluator 32	4		2	4.5		
Evaluator 33	4		2	4.5		
Evaluator 34						NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Evaluator 35	4		1	5		
Evaluator 5						NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Average Score	4.00		1.60	4.70		
Rounded Score	4.00		1.50	4.50		
Line 3 - Desktop Recurring Fees						
Evaluator 30	4		2.5	4.5		
Evaluator 31	4		2.5	4.5		
Evaluator 32	4		2	4.5		
Evaluator 33	4		2	4.5		
Evaluator 34						NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Evaluator 35	4		2	5		
Evaluator 5						NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Average Score	4.00		2.20	4.60		
Rounded Score	4.00		2.00	4.50		
Line 4 - Application Development and Maintenance Recurring Fees						
Evaluator 30	3		4	4		
Evaluator 31	3		4.5	4.5		
Evaluator 32	3		4	4		
Evaluator 33	3		4.5	4.5		
Evaluator 34						NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Evaluator 35	3		4.5	5		
Evaluator 5						NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Average Score	3.00		4.30	4.40		
Rounded Score	3.00		4.50	4.50		
Line 5 - Data Network Recurring Fees						
Evaluator 30						SCORING FOR THIS SECTION IS INCLUDED IN LINE #7
Evaluator 31						
Evaluator 32						
Evaluator 33						NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Evaluator 34						
Evaluator 35						NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Evaluator 5						
Average Score						
Rounded Score						
Line 6 - Voice Communications Recurring Fees						
Evaluator 30						SCORING FOR THIS SECTION IS INCLUDED IN LINE #8
Evaluator 31						
Evaluator 32						
Evaluator 33						NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Evaluator 34						
Evaluator 35						NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Evaluator 5						
Average Score						
Rounded Score						
Line 7 - Converged Network Recurring Fees						
Evaluator 30		5			4	
Evaluator 31		5			4	
Evaluator 32		5			4.5	
Evaluator 33		5			4.5	
Evaluator 34		5				NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Evaluator 35		5			4	
Evaluator 5		5.00			4.20	NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Average Score		5.00			4.00	
Rounded Score						
Line 8 - Converged VOIP Recurring Fees						
Evaluator 30		5			2	
Evaluator 31		5			1	
Evaluator 32		4.5			1	
Evaluator 33		5			2	
Evaluator 34		5				NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Evaluator 35		5			1	
Evaluator 5		4.90			1.40	NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Average Score		5.00			1.50	
Rounded Score						
Line 9 - Transition Fees						
Evaluator 30	5	5	0	4	1	
Evaluator 31	4.5	5	2	4	1	
Evaluator 32	4.5	5	0	3.5	1	
Evaluator 33	4.5	5	1	3.5	1	
Evaluator 34						NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Evaluator 35	5	5	1	4	1	
Evaluator 5						NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Average Score	4.70	5.00	0.80	3.80	1.00	
Rounded Score	5.00	5.00	1.00	4.00	1.00	
Line 10 - Network and VOIP Transition Fees						
Evaluator 30		5			1	
Evaluator 31		5			1	
Evaluator 32		5			1	
Evaluator 33		5			1	
Evaluator 34		5				NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Evaluator 35		5			1	
Evaluator 5		5.00			1.00	NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Average Score		5.00			1.00	
Rounded Score						
Line 11 - Hourly Rates						
Evaluator 30	4.5	5	3	3	2	
Evaluator 31	4.5	5	2	2.5	1.5	
Evaluator 32	4.5	4.5	3.5	3.5	1.5	
Evaluator 33	4.5	5	3	3	2	
Evaluator 34						NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Evaluator 35	5	5	4	4	1	
Evaluator 5						NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY COUNTY
Average Score	4.60	4.90	3.10	3.20	1.60	
Rounded Score	4.50	5.00	3.00	3.00	1.50	
Section #16 - Alternate Proposals						
Line 1 - Flexibility of Service With Alternate Proposals						
Evaluator 1						
Evaluator 30						NO LONGER A COUNTY EMPLOYEE
Evaluator 5						
Evaluator 12						
Evaluator 36						
Average Score						NOT SCORED THIS ROUND
Rounded Score						

Section #17 - Due Diligence						
	ACS S1 FINAL BAFO Round	ACS S2 FINAL BAFO Round	HP S1 FINAL BAFO Round	SAIC S1 FINAL BAFO Round	Verizon S2 FINAL BAFO Round	COMMENTS
Line 1 - References - Business View						
Evaluator 1	1.5	1.5	3	3	3	
Average Score	1.50	1.50	3.00	3.00	3.00	
Rounded Score	1.50	1.50	3.00	3.00	3.00	
Line 2 - Site Visit - Business View						
Evaluator 12						
Evaluator 26						
Evaluator 15						
Evaluator 13						
Evaluator 37						
Evaluator 36						
Average Score	3.68	3.72	3.96	3.73	3.77	COMBINED SCORES FOR MULTIPLE COMPONENTS
Rounded Score	3.50	3.50	4.00	3.50	4.00	
Line 3 - References - Technical View						
Evaluator 12	3	3	3	3	3.5	
Average Score	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.50	
Rounded Score	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.50	
Line 4 - Site Visit - Technical View						
Evaluator 12						
Evaluator 26						
Evaluator 15						
Evaluator 13						
Evaluator 37						
Evaluator 36						
Average Score	3.93	4.04	3.89	3.61	4.17	COMBINED SCORES FOR MULTIPLE COMPONENTS
Rounded Score	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.50	4.00	
Law and Justice Agencies Network and Voice Solutions Section 1						
	ACS S1 FINAL BAFO Round	ACS S2 FINAL BAFO Round	HP S1 FINAL BAFO Round	SAIC S1 FINAL BAFO Round	Verizon S2 FINAL BAFO Round	COMMENTS
Evaluator 12		3			3.5	
Evaluator 23		3			3	
Evaluator 13		3			3	
Evaluator 24						DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 25		3			3	
Evaluator 26		3			3	
Evaluator 27		3.5			3	
Evaluator 28		3			3.5	
Evaluator 29						DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 14		3.5			3	
Evaluator 20		3.5			4	
Average Score		3.17			3.22	
Rounded Score		3.00			3.00	
Law and Justice Agencies Network and Voice Solutions Section 1.1						
	ACS S1 FINAL BAFO Round	ACS S2 FINAL BAFO Round	HP S1 FINAL BAFO Round	SAIC S1 FINAL BAFO Round	Verizon S2 FINAL BAFO Round	COMMENTS
Evaluator 12		3			3	
Evaluator 23		3			3	
Evaluator 13		3			3	
Evaluator 24						DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 25		3			3	
Evaluator 26		3			3	
Evaluator 27		3.5			3	
Evaluator 28		3			3	
Evaluator 29						DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 14		3.5			3.5	
Evaluator 20		3.5			4	
Average Score		3.17			3.17	
Rounded Score		3.00			3.00	
Law and Justice Agencies Network and Voice Solutions Section 1.2						
	ACS S1 FINAL BAFO Round	ACS S2 FINAL BAFO Round	HP S1 FINAL BAFO Round	SAIC S1 FINAL BAFO Round	Verizon S2 FINAL BAFO Round	COMMENTS
Evaluator 12		3			3.5	
Evaluator 23		3			3	
Evaluator 13		3			3	
Evaluator 24						DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 25		3			3	
Evaluator 26		3			3	
Evaluator 27		3.5			3	
Evaluator 28		3			3	
Evaluator 29						DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 14		3.5			3.5	
Evaluator 20		4			4	
Average Score		3.22			3.22	
Rounded Score		3.00			3.00	
Law and Justice Converged Network Management Service Requirements Acceptance						
	ACS S1 FINAL BAFO Round	ACS S2 FINAL BAFO Round	HP S1 FINAL BAFO Round	SAIC S1 FINAL BAFO Round	Verizon S2 FINAL BAFO Round	COMMENTS
Evaluator 12		3.5			3	
Evaluator 23		3			3	
Evaluator 13		3			3	
Evaluator 24						DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 25		3			3	
Evaluator 26		3			3	
Evaluator 27		3			3	
Evaluator 28						DROPPED OUT DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS
Evaluator 29		3			3	
Evaluator 14		3			3	
Evaluator 20						
Average Score		3.06			3.00	
Rounded Score		3.00			3.00	

[illegible]